

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 292 314

FL 017 231

AUTHOR Ohala, Manjari; Zwicky, Arnold
TITLE Using Existing Resources To Develop an Undergraduate Linguistics Major. Linguistics in the Undergraduate Curriculum, Appendix 3.
INSTITUTION Linguistic Society of America, Washington, D.C.
SPONS AGENCY National Endowment for the Humanities (NFAH), Washington, D.C.
PUB DATE Dec 87
GRANT EH-20558-85
NOTE 8p.; In: Langendoen, D. Terence, Ed., Linguistics in the Undergraduate Curriculum: Final Report; see FL 017 227.
PUB TYPE Guides - Non-Classroom Use (055) -- Reports - Descriptive (141)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Administrative Organization; College Credits; College Curriculum; College Faculty; Curriculum Development; Educational Objectives; Equipment; Graduate Study; Higher Education; Library Materials; *Linguistics; *Majors (Students); *Program Administration; *Program Design; *Undergraduate Study

ABSTRACT

Issues in the development of an undergraduate linguistics major are discussed for linguists wanting to initiate such a major at their institution. The discussion, which assumes the pre-existence of service courses in linguistics, addresses administrators' questions and concerns in deciding whether to implement a major program, including: the objectives of such a program other than preparing students for advanced study in linguistics; the program's administrative status; resources required for initiating a major (courses and faculty, crosslisting of courses for graduate and undergraduate credit, library resources, equipment, and other specialized material); and differences between the proposed degree program and other similar programs offered in the geographic vicinity. (MSE)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

LINGUISTICS IN THE UNDERGRADUATE CURRICULUM

APPENDIX 3

Using Existing Resources to Develop
an Undergraduate Linguistics Major

by

Manjari Ohala
San Jose State University

Arnold Zwicky
The Ohio State University

The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position of the LSA or the National Endowment for the Humanities.

The Linguistics in the Undergraduate Curriculum Project was funded by the National Endowment for the Humanities, Grant #EH-20558-85, D. Terence Langendoen, Principal Investigator.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.
☐ Minor changes have been made to improve
reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this docu-
ment do not necessarily represent official
OERI position or policy.

Linguistic Society of America
1325 18th Street, N.W., Suite 211
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 835-1714

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

M. Niebuhr

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

December 1987

ED292314

FL017231

PREFACE

The Linguistics in the Undergraduate Curriculum (LUC) project is an effort by the Linguistic Society of America (LSA) to study the state of undergraduate instruction in linguistics in the United States and Canada and to suggest directions for its future development. It was supported by a grant from the National Endowment for the Humanities during the period 1 January 1985-31 December 1987. The project was carried out under the direction of D. Terence Langendoen, Principal Investigator, and Secretary-Treasurer of the LSA. Mary Niebuhr, Executive Assistant at the LSA office in Washington, DC, was responsible for the day-to-day administration of the project with the assistance of Nicole VandenHeuvel and Dana McDaniel.

Project oversight was provided by a Steering Committee that was appointed by the LSA Executive Committee in 1985. Its members were: Judith Aissen (University of California, Santa Cruz), Paul Angelis (Southern Illinois University), Victoria Fromkin (University of California, Los Angeles), Frank Heny, Robert Jeffers (Rutgers University), D. Terence Langendoen (Graduate Center of the City University of New York), Manjari Ohala (San Jose State University), Ellen Prince (University of Pennsylvania), and Arnold Zwicky (The Ohio State University and Stanford University). The Steering Committee, in turn, received help from a Consultant Panel, whose members were: Ed Battistella (University of Alabama, Birmingham), Byron Bender (University of Hawaii, Manoa), Garland Bills (University of New Mexico), Daniel Brink (Arizona State University), Ronald Butters (Duke University), Charles Cairns (Queens College of CUNY), Jean Casagrande (University of Florida), Nancy Dorian (Bryn Mawr College), Sheila Embleton (York University), Francine Frank (State University of New York, Albany), Robert Freidin (Princeton University), Jean Berko-Gleason (Boston University), Wayne Harbert (Cornell University), Alice Harris (Vanderbilt University), Jeffrey Heath, Michael Henderson (University of Kansas), Larry Hutchinson (University of Minnesota, Minneapolis), Ray Jackendoff (Brandeis University), Robert Johnson (Gallaudet College), Braj Kachru (University of Illinois, Urbana), Charles Kreidler (Georgetown University), William Ladusaw (University of California, Santa Cruz), Ilse Lehist (The Ohio State University), David Lightfoot (University of Maryland), Donna Jo Napoli (Swarthmore College), Ronald Macaulay (Pitzer College), Geoffrey Pullum (University of California, Santa Cruz), Victor Raskin (Purdue University), Sanford Schane (University of California, San Diego), Carlota Smith (University of Texas, Austin), Roger Shuy (Georgetown University), and Jessica Wirth (University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee).

ERRATA

P. 2 The last paragraph should begin:

In the past, universities and colleges have often placed linguistics (in some cases as a semi-autonomous program) within the English or Anthropology Departments. This status persists in a very few cases and recently linguistics has sometimes been placed within the Psychology department. For further information on the administrative affiliation of linguistic programs, see a separate LUC Project report titled: "The Status of Undergraduate Education in Linguistics in the United States and Canada.".....

(NOTE: There is no report titled "Brink/Raskin Questionnaire: An Analysis of Undergraduate Linguistics Programs in the United States and Canada.")

This report is aimed at linguists who wish to initiate a full-fledged undergraduate major in linguistics. It assumes the existence of service courses in linguistics (or even a minor), but no major. The report will attempt to address the questions and concerns administrators may have in deciding whether to implement such a major.

1. What objective would such a degree program serve other than preparing students for advanced study in linguistics?

(a) A B.A. in linguistics provides a broad liberal arts education emphasizing the study of language, treating language both as a fundamental human faculty and as a changing social institution. (Linguistics is the discipline that encompasses all areas related to the scientific study of the nature, structure, and function of language.)

(b) Such a degree would also provide a pre-professional major for certain fields. Linguistics has been recognized as a valuable pre-professional major, for example, for law, not only because it is methodologically varied, employs rigorous means of analysis, and develops critical thinking, but also because linguistics has contributed to: the evaluation of voice-print evidence, interpreting the complex language of statutes and contracts, analyzing ambiguity and presuppositions (e.g., in testimony or in cross-examination), elucidation of attitudes towards language, and attempting to interpret and make uniform different states' laws covering the same area.

(c) It also provides preparation for advanced study in fields such as Anthropology, Business, Communications, Computer Science, Education (Language Arts and Language teaching), Journalism, Neurosciences (for the study of, e.g., dyslexia and aphasia), Speech & Hearing Sciences, Philosophy, and Psychology.

(d) Along with preparing students for further study in areas mentioned under (c), the major would also prepare students for careers in fields where the knowledge of linguistics has proven essential. We give just a couple of examples here, for additional ones the reader is referred to the 'advocacy statements' available from the LSA.

--Second language teaching in general, and teaching English as a second language (TESL) in particular.

--Communication between humans and machine using natural (including spoken) language (a task central to artificial intelligence and robotics). Jobs for linguistics majors could involve the following types of tasks: Evaluation, selection, implementation, and training of others in use of commercially-available linguistic tools for word processing, e.g., spelling checkers/correctors, grammar/style checkers; using and training others to use commercially-available speech processing devices, including text-to-speech synthesis, automatic speech recognition systems; constructing dictionaries, and glossaries for specialized purposes; translating experts' statements into LISP statements for expert systems; computer aids for the disabled (blind, paralyzed, deaf).

--A B.A. in linguistics serves, as does any liberal arts degree, to qualify

a graduate for sales and management training programs in business and industry. Students with this degree compete favorably with those from other humanities and social science disciplines for entry-level positions in public relations, commerce (e.g. banking), publishing (e.g., editing, lexicography), and other fields requiring analytical, communication, and research skills, e.g., technical writing, translation, government and non-profit language research organizations, social service groups.

It should be mentioned that although not many universities have "tracked" their graduates for employment obtained after graduating, information from one that did, namely UCLA, supports the above statements regarding job possibilities for graduates in linguistics. UCLA surveyed their (B.A.) graduates of 1981-82 and 1985-86 via questionnaire. Of the 74 respondents, only six of those interested in jobs were unemployed. The rest were employed in careers such as business (sales and marketing, managerial), law, computing, technical writing, teaching. A number of them were continuing further studies in fields such as law, speech pathology, TESL, psychology, and linguistics.

2. Administrative status

Where should the linguistics program be housed: under which school and which department? Linguistics, in part for historical reasons and in part because of its nature, is sometimes seen as essentially inter-disciplinary in character. This has contributed to the setting up of inter-departmental programs at a great number of institutions over the past few decades. The more successful of these have tended to gain independent status, often as autonomous departments within the faculty/college of Humanities or within Social Sciences. A case could even be made to house linguistics with the natural sciences (cf. G.K. Pullum 'Topic...Comment', Natural Language and Linguistic Theory 3, (1985) pp. 107-112). There are a few long established inter-departmental programs which continue to function effectively, but in general it seems that while there is every justification for expecting that linguists will provide service to the university community as a whole, and for expecting academics whose object of study is language will have close inter-disciplinary ties to many other administrative units, the systematic, scientific study of language is now so well-established, independent and mature a discipline that it will be able to best serve that community if established as a separate linguistics department as early as possible.

In the past, universities and colleges have often placed linguistics (in some cases as a semi-autonomous program) within the English or Anthropology departments. This status persists in a very few cases and recently linguistics has sometimes been placed within the Psychology department. For further information on the administrative affiliation of linguistic programs, see the attached 'Brink/Raskin Questionnaire: An Analysis of Undergraduate Linguistics Programs in the United States and Canada.' While there is obviously some justification for placing a new venture within some existing department, the field now has an internal integrity and a basic methodology which makes it very hard for linguists to function effectively in a university setting without some autonomy--and there are good academic grounds for thinking that none of the above arrangements will provide a congenial environment within which linguists can effectively serve the university. Problems arise when faculty who know little about the highly complex area of linguistics have to make

decisions which affect linguistics in the area of personnel, curriculum, and allocation of resources.

3. Resources required for initiating the major.

These would differ depending on whether the existing program was a minor, a minor plus a graduate program, or only a graduate program. The cost of setting up a major would also differ depending on whether just a general linguistics B.A. was to be offered or whether special emphases such as natural language processing by computers are being planned. If the campus already has a minor and a graduate program the cost of offering a major will be minimal.

Courses & faculty

Although there are no prescribed set of core courses for a major in linguistics, most universities offering a B.A. in linguistics seem to include what could be called a traditional core. Along with one or two general introductory courses in linguistics (Introduction to linguistics, Introduction to language) this usually includes courses in the following:

Phonetics/Phonology

Syntax/Semantics

Historical-comparative and/or Typological Linguistics

(Some universities have separate courses in each of the areas of phonetics, phonology, syntax and semantics.) If the campus already has a minor and/or graduate program in linguistics most of these courses would already be existing offerings. Also, it is possible that historical-comparative linguistics or courses in language typology might be existing courses in other departments, such as the department(s) that teach foreign languages. However it must be emphasized that it is essential that the core courses be taught by faculty with degrees in linguistics specializing in the areas listed above. Thus to initiate the major there should be at least two faculty positions assigned to the program, one for a specialist in phonetics/phonology and the other for one in syntax/semantics (although it would be advisable to start with at least three positions to give the breadth and intellectual stimulation required). Additional courses required to 'flesh out' the major could either be additional offerings in linguistics (morphology, field methods, discourse analysis, sociolinguistics, psycholinguistics, etc) or relevant courses from other departments. A campus wishing to offer some special emphases within the major (e.g., Natural Language and Computers, TESL) will naturally offer courses in the specialized areas beyond the core. A sample program from a university emphasizing theoretical descriptive linguistics in the major is given in the advocacy statement by Jorge Hankamer, 'The UCSC Linguistics Major', available from the LSA.

Crosslisting linguistics courses for graduate and undergraduate credit

Another question may arise for universities that have a graduate program

in linguistics and also allow the option of crosslisting courses for both graduate and undergraduate credit. Should existing courses be crosslisted for both graduate and undergraduate credit? There are pros and cons to the issue. In favor of crosslisting there are of course budgetary advantages. There are also some instructional advantages: it is good for undergraduates to be treated as 'adults', i.e., to be reading the same literature as graduate students. And it is beneficial for the graduate students in that undergraduates often ask some rather penetrating basic questions. Finally, the rather special character of linguistics as a graduate discipline--it is still true that many students enter such a program with little course work specifically in linguistics--ensures that many introductory courses will have graduate as well as undergraduate enrollment. The disadvantages are that the content may be too technical for some undergraduates; that assignments requiring original research papers may be inappropriate for some undergraduates; and that instructors might have to grade undergraduates and graduates using different criteria.

Library resources, equipment & other specialized material required to initiate the major:

If the campus already has a graduate program, the library resources should be adequate. If not, additional library resources will be required. The LSA is prepared to give some guidance; see the document by Judith Aissen, 'Library List: A Suggested Library Collection for Undergraduate Linguistics Programs', available from the LSA. With regards to equipment, although some areas of linguistics are enhanced by the availability of specialized equipment, it is not a hardware-dependent discipline. However, depending on which of the technical areas the program wishes to emphasize, some equipment might be required. For example, a natural language and computers emphasis would require computational facilities and some staff for operation and maintenance. Also, the teaching of phonetics is generally enhanced by the availability of a lab, especially if speech synthesis or automatic speech recognition are to be covered.

3. Difference between the proposed degree program and other similar ones offered in the geographical vicinity.

Inevitably administrators contemplating the introduction of an undergraduate degree in linguistics will have to ask the question of how the degree differs from similar degrees offered by neighboring institutions, i.e., will the proposed program fill an identifiable niche in the local ecology of higher education? The answer to this question will of course vary depending on the location of the campus, the nature of the students, and the interests of its faculty. Some campuses have emphasized the Liberal Arts & Science profile of linguistics and others its technical preprofessional character. Yet others have emphasized both. It is one of the positive characteristics of the discipline of linguistics that a good major program can be constructed with different types of profiles. The 'advocacy statements' available from the LSA are sufficiently varied to give administrators considerable choice in which areas to emphasize based on the characteristics of their campus.